

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—May I know whether all the police party carried weapons, Sir?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Sir, I have no information whether two weapons were carried or four weapons. When they go on raid, they will usually take weapons, otherwise, they cannot go on raids.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ವೆಂಕಟೇಗೌಡ.—Illicit distillation raid ಮಾಡಿದ ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಗಲಭೆ ನಡೆದ ಸ್ಥಳಕ್ಕೂ ಆಸ್ಪತ್ರೆಗೂ ಎಷ್ಟು ದೂರವಿದೆ.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—They are very near to each other.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ವೆಂಕಟೇಗೌಡ.—ಫೋಲೇನಿನವರು ಎಷ್ಟು ಜನರನ್ನು ಹಿಡಿದು ಫೋಲೇನ್ ವ್ಯಾನ್ ಕತ್ತರ ಕರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದರು ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—There were about 10 persons in the house drinking arrack and when the raid took place about 100 persons assembled and attacked the police.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Did not the police take the help of the villagers to go to this place?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—How is it possible, Sir? If they want to raid, they must do it quietly.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜಿ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಎಷ್ಟು ಸಲ mild lathi charge ಮಾಡಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ನೂರು ಜನ ಚದರಲಲ್ಲ? ಜನ ಚದುರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಸಾರಿ ಗುಂಡನ್ನು ಹಾರಿಸಿದರು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಜನರನ್ನು ಚದರಿಸಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಗುಂಡು ಹಾರಿಸಲಲ್ಲ. Warning ಕೊಟ್ಟು, ಹೋಗಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೂ ಕೇಳದೆ, ನೀಮೆ ಎಣ್ಣೆಯನ್ನು ಸುರಿದು ಸುಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡಿದನಂತರ, situation grave ಆದ ನಂತರ ಗುಂಡು ಹಾರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜಿ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—Situation grave ಆದಮೇಲೆ, mild lathi charge ಆದಮೇಲೆ ನೂರು ಜನರ ಗುಂಪು ಚದುರಲಲ್ಲ. ತಮ್ಮ ಪಾತ್ರವನ್ನು ರಕ್ಷಣೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೋಸ್ಕರ ಎಷ್ಟು ಸಾರಿ ಫೋಲೇನರು ಗುಂಡು ಹಾರಿಸಿದರು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಅವರು ಗುಂಡನ್ನು ಹಾರಿಸಿದ್ದು ಒಮ್ಮೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜಿ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ನೂರು ಜನ ಅಲ್ಲಿದ್ದರು. ನಾಲ್ಕುಜನ constables, ಒಬ್ಬ ಹೆಡ್ ಕಾನ್‌ಸ್ಟೇಬಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ಒಬ್ಬ ಸರ್ವೆಂಟ್ಸ್‌ಮೆನ್ ಮಲ್ಟಿ mild lathi charge ಮಾಡಿದರೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ರಾಜಿಚಾರ್ಜಿಗೆ ತುತ್ತಾದ 100 ಜನರ ಪೈಕಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಜನಗಳಿಗೆ ಏನು ಬಿತ್ತು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಅವರಗಳು ನನ್ನಲ್ಲ.

### CLAIM OF THE KERALA GOVERNMENT WITH THE STATE GOVERNMENT REGARDING THE WATERS OF CAUVERY AND KABINI RIVERS.

Q.—65. Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN (Kolar Gold Fields).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether they are aware of the recent statement of the Chief Minister of Kerala (which has appeared in to-day's papers) stating

that they have claims with the State Government regarding the waters of Cauvery and Kabini rivers ;

(b) whether as stated by the Chief Minister of Kerala the Government of India have decided to constitute an Inter-State Board for the sharing of Cauvery waters ;

(c) whether there is any dispute between Kerala and Mysore States regarding waters of Cauvery ?

A.—Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—(Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(a) The News item published in the Deccan Herald of the 21st November 1961 has come to the notice of Government.

(b) The Government of India have proposed the setting up of River Boards for all the Inter-State rivers in the country. This Government have received specific proposals from the Government of India for the setting up of a River Board for the Krishna and Godavary basins. However no specific proposal has yet been received for the setting up of such a Board for the Cauvery basin.

(c) There is no dispute between Kerala and Mysore State regarding waters of Cauvery but there are a number of matters under correspondence.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Supplementary to (c). May I know as to whether it has come to the notice of the Government that the Kerala Chief Minister has said that the State has certain dispute and claim in respect of Cauvery waters ?

†Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—That is what I read in the papers. It must be his notion; but there is no dispute.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Is any claim made by the Kerala Government ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—Certainly not. They want certain details about Kabini water and we are supplying.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Then, what is the matter that is under correspondence ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—It is with regard to Kabini project, about certain height and to make use of the water available. They want to know the details to find out whether any portion of their land belonging to Kerala comes under submerge. They are asking for the topo sheets, etc.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—What is the reaction of this Government about the statement of the Chief Minister of Kerala ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—There is no dispute in the first place with regard to Cauvery waters as such and there is no reaction at all.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Sir, you have stated that no specific proposals have been received for the setting up of a Board for Krishna basin. In all our investigations made by our officers for over 40 to 50 years, is there any slight indication of any claim that may be put forward by the Kerala Government for Cauvery waters?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—Absolutely nothing.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—May I know whether the Kerala Government is a party to our agreement to Cauvery waters in any previous conference?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—There is no dispute between us regarding Cauvery. It is only a statement said to have been made by the Kerala Chief Minister in the Assembly. That is all. That ends there. We have nothing to do with that matter.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—In answer to (c) it is stated that there are a number of matters under correspondence. It is this which has alarmed us. I hope this is not one of the matters.

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—The only matter is in regard to the supply of details of the Kabini Project.

---

#### DELAY IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE BRIDGE ON SUVARNAVATI RIVER NEAR YELANDUR.

\*Q.—1053. Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA (Nanjangud).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

- (a) when the construction of the bridge on Suvarnavati River Yelandur was commenced;
- (b) when it was expected to be completed;
- (c) what is the present stage of the work;
- (d) who is responsible for the delay;
- (e) what action is taken against the defaulting contractor;
- (f) whether the work carried out was according to specifications?

A.—Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

- (a) 1st February 1959.
- (b) 30th November 1960.